DOCKET NO: 257397US0PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

MICHEL DROUX : EXAMINER: COLE, ELIZABETH M.

SERIAL NO: 10/505,166

FILED: JUNE 27, 2005 : GROUP ART UNIT: 1771

FOR: CHOPPED STRAND MAT BY A

WET ROUTE

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated June 25, 2007, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-18, for examination.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims have been divided into Groups as follows:

Group I: Claims 1-18, drawn to a process of making a glass strand mat.

Group II: Claims 19-25, drawn to a glass strand mat.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-18, for examination.

Restriction is only proper if the claims of the restricted groups are independent or patentably distinct and there would be a serious burden placed on the Examiner if restriction is not required (MPEP §803). The burden is on the Examiner to provide reasons and/or examples to support any conclusion in regard to patentable distinction (MPEP §803).

Moreover, when citing lack of unity of invention in a national stage application, the Examiner